Interview Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)			
	09/425,436		Cappadona	
	Examiner Drew Beck	1	p Art Unit	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO			1701	
(1) <u>Drew Becker</u>	(3)	*		
(2) Joe Shipley	 _			
Date of Interview May 22, 2001	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🛛 applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes in the cooker	No. If yes, brief pussel Convention	oper		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 # 7	(0)	'		
Identification of prior art discussed:				
would be a new issue. The	riess cooking Examiner en better define would be a	in clan the "wester method of the to am	us 147.	s reached, or This cific shing". and a
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the sub	stance of the int	erview (if box	x is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to the DATE TO FILE A	last Office act A STATEMENT	tion has T OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action				

Interview Summary

Part of Paper No. 12

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)